Case: 3:13-cv-50233 Document #: 1 Filed: 07/18/13 Page 1 of 10 PageID #:1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2013

Hasan Hutchinson	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
(Name of the plaintiff or plaintiffs) v. Chrysler LLC Tim Hinton (Name of the defendant or defendants)	civil action No. 13 c 50233 Reinhard/Mahon)
- Complement discrim	LOYMENT DISCRIMINATION
2. The plaintiff is Hasan Hutch county of U: na bego	of the
3. The defendant is Chrysler U. street address is 3000 West 5 (city) Bely dere (county) Boone (Defendant's telephone number) (636) 4. The plaintiff sought employment or was	c / Tim Hinton, whose Chrysler Dr. (state) Mo III (ZIP) 61107 - 448.6468 employed by the defendant at (street address) Dr. (city) Fenton
(county)(state)(s	(ZIP code) 61107

5.	The plaintiff [check one box]	
((a) was denied employment by the defendant.	
((b) was hired and is still employed by the defendant.	
(was employed but is no longer employed by the defendant (Fixed, then achi-	•
6.	The defendant discriminated against the plaintiff on or about, or beginning on or about,	
((month) Oct , (day) 13 , $(year)$ 20 11.	
7. <u>1</u>	(Choose paragraph 7.1 or 7.2, do not complete both.)	
	(a) The defendant is not a federal governmental agency, and the plaintiff [check	
	one box] has not filed a charge or charges against the defendant	
asser	ting the acts of discrimination indicated in this complaint with any of the following	
gove	rnment agencies:	
	(i) the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, on or about	
	(month) 10 (day) 11 (year) 2011.	
	(ii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about	
ı	(month) N_{0} (day) N_{0} (year) $20N_{0}$.	
(b) If charges were filed with an agency indicated above, a copy of the charge is	
attacl	ned. YES. NO, but plaintiff will file a copy of the charge within 14 days.	
It is t	he policy of both the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Illinois	
Depa	rtment of Human Rights to cross-file with the other agency all charges received. The	
plain	tiff has no reason to believe that this policy was not followed in this case.	
7.2	The defendant is a federal governmental agency, and	
	(a) the plaintiff previously filed a Complaint of Employment Discrimination with the	
	defendant asserting the acts of discrimination indicated in this court complaint.	

		Yes (month)	(day)	(year)
		No, did not file Comp	laint of Employment	Discrimination
	` '	iff received a Final Ager		h) April
1	(day)	9 (year) 2013	•	
	(c) Attached	s a copy of the		
,		laint of Employment Dis		
. '		YES NO, but a co	ppy will be filed within	n 14 days.
	(ii) Final	Agency Decision		
		NO, but a c	copy will be filed with	in 14 days.
8.	(Complete parag	raph 8 only if defendant	is not a federal gover	nmental agency.)
	(a) the U	Inited States Equal Empl	oyment Opportunity (Commission has not issued
	a No	tice of Right to Sue.		
	(b) the U	Inited States Equal Emp	loyment Opportunity (Commission has issued a
•;	Noti	ce of Right to Sue, which	was received by the p	plaintiff on
	(mo	a 11 (1	1.0	
	•	ce is attached to this con	nplaint.	
9.	The defendant	liscriminated against the	plaintiff because of th	ne plaintiff's [check only
	those that appl	y]:		
	· / ——	ge Discrimination Empl		
	(b) Color	(Title VII of the Civil Ri	ghts Act of 1964 and	42 U.S.C. §1981).

	(c) Disability (Americans with Disabilities Act or Rehabilitation Act)
	(d) National Origin (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981)
	(e) Race (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).
	(f) Religion (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)
	(g) Sex (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)
0.	If the defendant is a state, county, municipal (city, town or village) or other local
	governmental agency, plaintiff further alleges discrimination on the basis of race, color,
	or national origin (42 U.S.C. § 1983).
1.	Jurisdiction over the statutory violation alleged is conferred as follows: for Title VII
	claims by 28 U.S.C.§1331, 28 U.S.C.§1343(a)(3), and 42 U.S.C.§2000e-5(f)(3); for
	42 U.S.C.§1981 and §1983 by 42 U.S.C.§1988; for the A.D.E.A. by 42 U.S.C.§12117;
	for the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 791.
.2.	The defendant [check only those that apply]
	(a) failed to hire the plaintiff.
	(b) terminated the plaintiff's employment.
	(c) failed to promote the plaintiff.
	(d) failed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.
	(e) failed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's disabilities.
	(f) foiled to stan harassment:
	(f) failed to stop harassment;
	retaliated against the plaintiff because the plaintiff did something to assert rights protected by the laws identified in paragraphs 9 and 10 above;

	
13. The fa	cts supporting the plaintiff's claim of discrimination are as follows:
, On or	about Oct 13, 2011 I mat with Tim Himton, one of respondents
Marpowe	rs Managers in negards to overtime hours. I was in a pool
05 40 em	ployees and rank and highest but reportly passed on hours, in
complete	violation of company policies. He responded by telling me
	to give something to get something while starring at my crotch
and licking	s his lips. Ofter reporting this incident I was terminated.
	DISCRIMINATION ONLY] Defendant knowingly, intentionally, and willfully ninated against the plaintiff.
15. The plant	aintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. YES NO
	EFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaintiff ally those that apply]
(a)	Direct the defendant to hire the plaintiff.
(b)	Direct the defendant to re-employ the plaintiff.
(c)	Direct the defendant to promote the plaintiff.
(d)	Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.
(e)	Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's disabilities.
(f)	Direct the defendant to (specify): repay lost woces for the
yeur of	termination and pay damage stemning from

5

٠:

Case: 3:13-cv-50233 Document #: 1 Filed: 07/18/13 Page 5 of 10 PageID #:5

, <u> </u>			<u>-</u>
			_
			- .
			-
If available, grant the plaintiff appropriate injunctive liquidated/double damages, front pay, compensator prejudgment interest, post-judgment interest, and cattorney fees and expert witness fees.	ry damages	s, punitive	
(h) Grant such other relief as the Court may find appro	priate.		
(Plaintiff's signature)			
Nasan Wetuser	-		, ;
(Plaintiff's name)			
Hasan Hutch. wson	-		
(Plaintiff's street address)			
985 mc Knight	_		
(City) Rockford (State) III (ZIP) 61107			
(Plaintiff's telephone number) (636) - UUS 6468			
			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Date: July 18, 2013



Case: 3:13-cv-50233 Document #: 1 Filed: 07/18/13 Page 7 of 10 PageID #:7
State of Illinois EMPLOYMENT COMPLAINANT INFORMATION SHEET

State of Illinois Department of Human Rights

PLEASE PRINT LEGIBLY

		Today's Date: NOV 📑	3" 2011
1. PERSONAL INFORMATION:		4. A. C.	1.
NAME: HASAN AZIT HUTCHINSON	ADDRESS: 985 NY K	NIGHT CIA	APT#:
CITY:	STATE ZIP:	PHONE #	
Hackford	111 61107		148.6468
hasan 36 @ att. Net	302 320 026	ALT. PHONE#:	
2. PERSONAL DATA: Please provide the following informat			
DATE OF RIPTH: SEX:	ion io «occasion» parpacocoons		
1972 MALE!			
CHECK THE CATEGORY IN THE LIST BELOW OF NATIONAL ORIG			DENTIFY:
Greece = B Haiti = T	India = N Korea = A	Ireland = I Liberia = R	<u> </u>
Italy = Y Japan = J Mexico = M Middle East = L	Pakistan = K	Philippines = S	
Poland = O Puerto Rico = P	U.S.A. = U	Vietnam = V	
Other African/ Other	Other	Other	
Non Arab = F East Asia = W	Eastern Europe = E	Hispanic = H	
Other = Z Specify:			
3. ALTERNATE CONTACT INFORMATION: Provide the na	mes of two persons who can d	ontact you in the event thi	s office is
unable to locate you. Make sure their mailing addresses ar			
if you do not provide this information and we are unable to I			
NAME:	ADDRESS:	. 1 .	APT#:
DAWN Williams	ADDRESS; SS NCKA	PHONE #:	<u> </u>
Rockford	STATE: ZIP: G110'7	302 220 C	DQQ8
NAME	ADDRESS:	~	APT#:
Johjuana CTrayson	STATE: ZIP:	I PHONE #	17-(1807)
Machesney Park	MG GIIS		13-4897
 RESPONDENT INFORMATION: Write out the full legal na (i.e. the Respondent), that you believe discriminated agains 		mployment Agency, Temp	orary Agency
NAME: Chausles First Corn	ADDRESS:	est Charles	- Da
CITY: 2 STATE: ZIP:	COUNTY:	PHONE#:	
	008 Borne Ca	intel 833 815-5	547-2192
DOES THE RESPONDENT HAVE A TOTAL OF YES	DOES THE RESPONDENT HAY		
15 OR MORE PEOPLE WORKING IN THE NO	15 OR MORE PEOPLE WORKI	NG IN THE NO	
STATE OF ILLINOIS?	UNITED STATES?	LOMBIO	
5. IF YOU HAVE BEEN EMPLOYED BY THE RESPONDEN JOB TITLE:	1, PLEASE FILL IN THE FUL	LOWING: DATE HIRED:	
Absented pool, casembly, inspection	a eta	Nov 3, 1990	1
Absented pool, cissembly inspection DEPARTMENT:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	WERE YOU ON YES	
Trim Iva	N Dismuke	PROBATION? NO	
PRESENT OR LAST SALARY: SELECT HOURLY		WEEKLY 🗖	
\$28.00 ONE BI-MONTHLY	MONTHLY	ANNUALLY	
OFFICE USE ONLY CONTROL NUMBER: 12W110.0	M INVESTIGAT	BENITIALS: H.	Righte
		The state of the state of	
	19		. .
The second of Manager and Second of the Seco		- Transani	*
		10.201	10:50
		HECEWE	
		1 パーピニ: V !ニ:	<i>.</i>

Case: 3:13-cv-50233 Document #: 1 Filed: 07/18/13 Page 8 of 10 PageID #:8

Illinois Department of Human Rights

EMPLOYMENT COMPLAINANT INFORMATION SHEET

6. DESCRIPTION OF THE EMPLOYMENT HARM AND BASES THE IDHR IS BEING REQUESTED TO INVESTIGATE:
A. FIRST ISSUE OF HARM OR EMPLOYMENT ACTION TAKEN AGAINST YOU BY RESPONDENT:
hom C.
Two first ash in order to get overtime I have to give sexual twass. BASIS: Note: See Page 3 for the Bases IDHR can investigate. When a cycotione is based on time and seniority. Oct. 13 2011
BASIS: Note: See Page 3 for the Bases IDHR can investigate. Ushen Cycotime is bosed on time and seniority DATE OF ACTION: Oct 13 2011
REASON GIVEN BY RESPONDENT FOR THE ACTION TAKEN AGAINST YOU:
•
NAME OF THE PERSON WHO GAVE YOU THIS INFORMATION: JOB TITLE:
NAME AN EMPLOYEE WHO WAS TREATED MORE FAVORABLY THAN YOU IN A SIMILAR OR COMPARABLE SITUATION:
B. SECOND ISSUE OF HARM OR EMPLOYMENT ACTION TAKEN AGAINST YOU BY RESPONDENT (if applicable):
I was approached, at my work station, by Tim who walked up on me.
BASIS: Note: See Page 3 for the Bases IDHR can investigate. Cot 31, 2011 REASON GIVEN BY RESPONDENT FOR THE ACTION TAKEN AGAINST YOU:
hard and swas told. "You know whats up a Cot 31, 2011
REASON GIVEN BY RESPONDENT FOR THE ACTION TAKEN AGAINST YOU:
I was suspended for pushing Tim off of me in response to his
Name of the person who gave you this information: Name of the person who gave you this information: Name of the person who gave you this information: Name of the person who gave you this information: Name of the person who gave you this information:
M. Love of they / Later Lobor Kelations
NAME AN EMPLOYEE WAS TREATED MODE EAVORABLY THAN YOU IN A SIMILAR OR COMPARABLE SITUATION.
Tim Henton/Hirton
7. WITNESS INFORMATION: NAME: PHONE: NAME: PHONE: PHONE:
ADDRESS: ADDRESS:
ADDRESS: but there was an intresses who
CITY/STATE/ZIP CITY/STATE/ZIP
Crave Statements on my behalf 8. SPECIAL BASES:
A. If you claimed SEXUAL HARASSMENT as a basis:
NAME OF THE HARASSER: JOB TITLE OF THE HARASSER:
I'm Hinton Man Power Supervisor
DO YOU WANT THE SEXUAL HARASSER CHARGED YES IF YES, GIVE THE PHONE NUMBER OF THAT PERSON: SEPARATELY AS AN ADDITIONAL RESPONDENT? NO IT IT IN THE PHONE NUMBER OF THAT PERSON:
IF YES, GIVE THE ADDRESS OF THAT PERSON: CITY: STATE: ZIP:
SEPARATELY AS AN ADDITIONAL RESPONDENT? NO TIME HIM HIM STATE: SEPARATELY AS AN ADDITIONAL RESPONDENT? NO TIME HIM HIM STATE: STATE:
B. If you claimed PHYSICAL OR MENTAL DISABILITY as a basis: STATE YOUR MEDICALLY DIAGNOSED DISABILITY(-IES):
STATE TOOK MEDICALLI DIAGROSED DIGADIETT (FIES).
EXPLAIN HOW THE RESPONDENT BECAME AWARE OF EACH DISABILITY:
C. If you claimed RETALIATION as a basis:
STATE HOW YOU OPPOSED UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION: (i.e., testified at a discrimination hearing, filed a prior discrimination claim, or complained about unlawful discrimination). Include dates, charge numbers, and/or the name or title of the person to whom you complained
·
9. HAVE YOU FILED A PREVIOUS CHARGE AGAINST THIS EMPLOYER WITH IDHR?
YES CHARGE NUMBER: NO CHARGE NUMBER:

I began my employment with Respondent on or around November 3, 1994 as a Production Worker, assembling automobiles. I held this position for approximately seventeen years until the time of my termination. On or around November 12, 2011, Respondent terminated my employment. Throughout the entire duration of my employment with Respondent, I consistently met the company's legitimate performance expectations.

I believe that Respondent terminated me as a result of my complaints of sexual harassment.

On or around October 13, 2011, I met with Tim Hinton, one of Respondent's Manpower Managers, to inquire and formally complain about Respondent bypassing me for overtime and preferred jobs. At this meeting, I explained to Mr. Hinton that despite that I was ranked second highest in terms of seniority out of a pool of thirty-five to forty-five employees eligible for preferred jobs and overtime, Respondent continuously denied me the opportunity to earn overtime and obtain preferred jobs in violation of the company's policies with regard to seniority. Mr. Hinton responded to my complaint by telling me: "You have to give something to get something." Mr. Hinton then licked his lips while staring at my crotch. Shocked by the overt, aggressive sexual nature of this conduct, I responded by telling Mr. Hinton that his behavior was inappropriate and that I was offended by it. A number of my co-workers, including Laura Cade, Patty Maury, Tisiapora Mower, and Keith Hooper, witnessed this incident.

Immediately after Mr. Hinton made this sexual proposition, pursuant to company policies and procedures, I reported the incident to my union representative on the assembly floor that day, Tony Cavalarra. Jason Vassor, one of my co-workers, was present during this conversation with Mr. Cavalarra. I formally complained to Mr. Cavalarra about Mr. Hinton's unwelcome sexual comment and conduct. Mr. Cavalarra received my complaints and told me that he would talk to Mr. Hinton about his conduct, including the sexual proposition.

After I levied these complaints to Mr. Cavalarra, several weeks passed in which I did not have any interactions with Mr. Hinton. However, I noticed that nothing had changed with regard to the preferred jobs and overtime situations. Consequently, on or around the morning of October 31, 2011, I approached my full-time union representative, Dave Garza, and once again complained about Mr. Hinton's sexual comment and conduct and his decision to continuously bypass me for preferred jobs and overtime despite my seniority. Mr. Garza told me that he would follow up with Mr. Hinton to address the situation.

Later that evening, only several hours after Mr. Garza said that he would talk to Mr. Hinton, Mr. Hinton came to my work station on the assembly floor and, in a hostile and threatening manner, accused me of returning from my scheduled break late despite that I was on time. When Mr. Hinton made this accusation, he stood directly next to me, placed his arm into my body, and then brushed the back of his hand against my crotch. Numerous employees, including but not limited to Laura Cade, Patty Maury, Tisiapora Mower, who were on my shift that evening, witnessed this incident. Highly offended by this sexual and threatening physical contact and acting out of personal defense, I responded spontaneously by quickly, but non-violently, rebuffing Mr. Hinton away from my immediate physical space and telling Mr. Hinton that he was jeopardizing my livelihood.

Immediately thereafter, Mr. Hinton called the company's Labor Relations personnel and falsely reported that I had exhibited "threatening behavior toward a supervisor." I was then instructed to go to the company's union offices. There, I met with Dave Garza, my union representative, Max Laventhall, Labor Relations Manager and Stacy Cheeks, Second Shift Shop Steward, for a supposed investigatory interview into the incidents in question. At this meeting, despite that I attempted to offer my version of the events, Mr. Laventhall told me that he "did not want to know what Tim [Hinton] did," but instead, cared solely about my conduct and behavior. As a result of this "investigatory" interview, despite that I did not have an opportunity to recount my side of the story, Respondent immediately placed me on indefinite suspension pending further investigation. Mr. Hinton was not similarly suspended or disciplined.

On or around November 10, 2010, I filed Charges for sexual harassment and retaliation against Respondent and Tim Hinton, individually, at the Illinois Department of Human Rights ("IDHR"). Only two or three days after I filed these IDHR charges, on or around November 12, 2011, Respondent terminated my employment.

Based on the foregoing facts, I believe that I have been subjected to sexual harassment and retaliation in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act.